arXiv:1103.5266v1 [math.DG] 28 Mar 2011

CONSTANCY OF $\phi-$HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONAL CURVATURE IN GENERALIZED $g.f.f-$MANIFOLDS

JAE WON LEE AND DAE HO JIN

Abstract. Tanno [6] provided an algebraic characterization in an almost Hermitian manifold to reduce to a space of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, which he later extended for the Sasakian manifolds as well. In this present paper, we generalize the same characterization in generalized $g.f.f-$manifolds.

1. Introduction

For an almost Hermitian manifold (M^{2n}, g, J) with $dim(M) = 2n > 4$, Tanno [6] has proved;

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $dim(M) = 2n > 4$ *and assume that almost Hermitian manifold* (M^{2n}, g, J) *satisfies*

$$R(JX, JY, JZ, JX) = R(X, Y, Z, X) \tag{1.1}$$

for every tangent vectors X, Y *and* Z. *Then* (M^{2n}, g, J) *is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature at* x *if and only if*

$$R(X, JX)X \text{ is proportional to } JX \tag{1.2}$$

for every tangent vector X *at* $x \in M$.

Tanno [6] has also proved an analogous theorem for Sasakian manifolds as

Theorem 1.2. *A Sasakian manifold* ≥ 5 *is of constant* $\phi-$*sectional curvature if and only if*

$$R(X, \phi X)X \text{ is proportional to } \phi X \tag{1.3}$$

for every tangent vector X *such that* $g(X, \xi) = 0$.

In this paper, we generalize both Theorem (1.1) and Theorem (1.2) for both an generalized globally framed $f-$manifold and $\mathcal{S}-$manifold, respectively by proving the followings:

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $(\bar{M}^{2n+r}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi_\alpha}, \bar{\eta}^\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \{1, \cdots, r\}$, $(n \geq 2)$ *be a generalized* $g.f.f-$*manifold. Then* M^{2n+1} *is of constant* $\phi-$*holomorphic sectional curvature if and only if*

$$R(X, \phi X)X \text{ is proportional to } \phi X \tag{1.4}$$

for every vector field X *such that* $g(X, \bar{\xi_\alpha}) = 0$ *for any* $\alpha \in \{1, \cdots, r\}$.

Date: February 21, 2011 and, in revised form, June 22, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C25, 53C50.

Key words and phrases. xxx.

The author is supported by ...

Theorem 1.4. *Let $(\bar{M}^{2n+r}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}_\alpha, \bar{\eta}^\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \{1, \cdots, r\}$, $(n \geq 2)$ be a $\mathcal{S}-$manifold. Then M^{2n+1} is of constant $\phi-$holomorphic sectional curvature if and only if*

$$R(X, \phi X)X \text{ is proportional to } \phi X \tag{1.5}$$

for every vector field X such that $g(X, \bar{\xi_\alpha}) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \{1, \cdots, r\}$.

2. Generalized $g.f.f-$manifolds

In the class of $f-$structures introduced in 1963 by Yano [7], particularly interesting are the so-called *$f-$structures* with complemented frames, also called *globally framed $f-$structures* with parallelizable kernel.

A manifold $\bar{M}$ is called a *globally framed f-manifold (or g.f.f-manifold)* [8] with a framed $f-$structure $(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}_\alpha, \bar{\eta}^\alpha, \bar{g})$ if it is endowed with a $(1,1)$-tensor field $\bar{\phi}$ of constant rank, such that $ker\bar{\phi}$ is parallelizable i.e. there exist global vector fields $\bar{\xi_\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \{1, \cdots, r\}$, with their dual 1- forms $\bar{\eta}^\alpha$, satisfying

$$\bar{\phi}^2 = -I + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} \bar{\eta}^\alpha \otimes \bar{\xi_\alpha}, \quad \bar{\eta}^\alpha(\bar{\xi_\beta}) = \delta^\alpha_\beta, \quad \bar{\phi}(\bar{\xi_\alpha}) = 0, \quad \bar{\eta}^\alpha(\phi) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

$$\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}X, \bar{\phi}Y) = \bar{g}(X, Y) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} \bar{\eta}^\alpha(X)\bar{\eta}^\alpha(Y) \tag{2.2}$$

for any X, $Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M})$. Then, for any $\alpha \in \{1, \cdots, r\}$, one has

$$\bar{\eta}^\alpha(X) = \bar{g}(X, \bar{\xi}_\alpha), \quad \Phi(X, Y) = \bar{g}(X, \bar{\phi}Y) \tag{2.3}$$

for any X, $Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M})$.

Following the notations in [5], we adopt the curvature tensor R, and thus we have $R(X, Y, Z) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z$, and $R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(Z, W, Y), X)$, for any X, Y, Z, $W \in \Gamma(TM)$.

A plane section in $T_p\bar{M}$ is a $\phi-$holomorphic section if there exits a vector $X \in T_p\bar{M}$ orthogonal to $\bar{\xi}_1, \cdots, \bar{\xi}_r$ such that $\{X, \phi X\}$ span the section. The sectional curvature of a $\phi-$holomorphic secton, denoted by $c(X) = R(X, \phi X, \phi X, X)$, is called a $\phi-$holomorphic sectional curvature.

Definition 2.1. ([3]) A *generalized g.f.f$-$space form,* denoted by $M^{2n+r}(F_1, F_2, \mathcal{F})$, is a $g.f.f-$manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}_\alpha, \bar{\eta}^\alpha)$ which admits smooth functions F_1, F_2, $\mathcal{F}$ such that its curvature tensor field verifies

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y, Z) &= F_1\{g(\phi X, \phi Z)\phi^2 Y - g(\phi Y, \phi Z)\phi^2 X\} \\ &+ F_2\{g(Z, \phi Y)\phi X - g(Z, \phi X)\phi Y + 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi Z\} \\ &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} F_{ij}\{\bar{\eta}^i(X)\bar{\eta}^j(Z)\phi^2 Y - \bar{\eta}^i(Y)\bar{\eta}^j(Z)\phi^2 X \\ &+ g(\phi Y, \phi Z)\bar{\eta}^i(X)\bar{\xi}_j - g(\phi X, \phi Z)\bar{\eta}^i(Y)\xi_j\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Theorem 2.1. *([3]) Let $M^{2n+r}(F_1, F_2, \mathcal{F})$ be a generalized $g.f.f-$space form. Then,*

1. *the $\phi-$holomorphic sectional curvature is $c = F_1 + 3F_2$.*
2. *$R(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi W) = R(X, Y, Z, W)$ for all X, Y, Z, $W \in TM$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $(\bar{M}^{2n+r},\bar{\phi},\bar{\xi_\alpha},\bar{\eta}^\alpha)$, $\alpha\in\{1,\cdots,r\}$, $(n\geq 2)$ be a generalized $g.f.f-$manifold. To prove the theorem for $n\geq 2$, we shall consider cases when $n=2$ and when $n>2$, that is, when $n\geq 3$.

Let $\bar{M}$ be of constant $\phi-$holomorphic sectional curvature. Then (2.4) and Theorem 2.1 give

$$R(X,\phi X)X=c\phi X \tag{2.5}$$

Conversely, let $\{X,Y\}$ be an orthonormal pair of tangent vectors such that $\bar{g}(\phi X,Y)=\bar{g}(X,Y)=\bar{g}(Y,\bar{\xi_\alpha})=0$, $\alpha\in\{1,\cdots,r\}$ and $n\geq 3$. Then $\dot{X}=\frac{X+Y}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\dot{Y}=\frac{\phi X-\phi Y}{\sqrt{2}}$ also form an orthonormal pair of tangent vectors such that $\bar{g}(\phi\dot{X},\dot{Y})=0$. Then (2.5) and curvature properties give

$$\begin{aligned}0=R(\dot{X},\phi\dot{X},\dot{Y},\dot{X}) &= \bar{g}(R(X,\phi X,X),\phi X)-\bar{g}(R(Y,\phi Y,Y),\phi Y)\\ &- 2\bar{g}(R(X,\phi Y,Y),\phi Y)+2\bar{g}(R(X,\phi X,Y),\phi X)\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

From the assumption, we see that the last two terms of the right hand side vanish. Therefore, we get $c(X)=c(Y)$.

Now, if $sp\{U,V\}$ is $\phi-$holomorphic, then for $\phi U=aU+bV$ where a and b are constant. Then we have

$$sp\{U,\phi U\}=sp\{U,aU+bV\}=sp\{U,V\}$$

Similarly,

$$sp\{V,\phi V\}=sp\{U,V\},\qquad sp\{U,\phi U\}=sp\{V,\phi V\}$$

These imply

$$R(U,\phi U,U,\phi U)=R(V,\phi V,V,\phi V),\ or\quad c(U)=c(V)$$

If $\{U,V\}$ is not $\phi-$holomorphic section, then we can choose unit vectors $X\in sp\{U,\phi U\}^\perp$ and $Y\in sp\{V,\phi V\}^\perp$ such that $sp\{X,Y\}$ is $\phi-$holomorphic. Thus we get

$$c(U)=c(X)=c(Y)=c(V),$$

which shows that any $\phi-$holomorphic section has the same $\phi-$ holomorphic sectional curvature.

Now, let $n=2$ and let $\{X,Y\}$ be a set of orthonormal vectors such that $\bar{g}(X,\phi X)=0$, we have $c(X)=c(Y)$ as before. Using the property (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}R(X,\phi X,X) &= c(X)\phi X\\ R(X,\phi X,Y) &= R(X,\phi X,Y,\phi Y)\phi Y\\ R(X,\phi Y,X) &= R(X,\phi Y,X,Y)Y+R(X,\phi Y,X,\phi Y)\phi Y\\ R(X,\phi Y,Y) &= R(X,\phi Y,Y,X)X+R(X,\phi Y,Y,\phi X)\phi X\\ R(Y,\phi X,Y) &= R(Y,\phi X,Y,X)X+R(Y,\phi X,Y,\phi X)\phi X\\ R(Y,\phi X,X) &= R(Y,\phi X,X,Y)Y+R(Y,\phi X,X,\phi Y)\phi Y\\ R(Y,\phi Y,X) &= R(Y,\phi Y,X,\phi X)\phi X\\ R(Y,\phi Y,Y) &= c(Y)\phi Y=c(X)\phi Y\end{aligned}$$

Now, define $\ddot{X}=aX+bY$ such that $a^2+b^2=1$ and $a^2\neq b^2$. Using above relations, we get

$$R(\ddot{X},\phi\ddot{X},\ddot{X})=C_1X+C_2Y+C_3\phi X+C_4\phi Y$$

Note that C_1 and C_2 are not necessary for argument and we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_3 &= a^3c(X) + ab^2C_5 \\ C_4 &= b^3c(X) + a^2bC_5, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where $C_5 = R(X, \phi X, Y, \phi Y) + R(X, \phi Y, X, \phi Y) + R(X, \phi Y, Y, \phi X)$. On the other hand,

$$R(\ddot{X}, \phi\ddot{X}, \ddot{X}) = c(\ddot{X})\phi\ddot{X} = c(\ddot{X})\{a\phi X + b\phi Y\} \tag{2.8}$$

Comparing (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$a^2c(X) + b^2C_5 = c(\ddot{X}) \tag{2.9}$$

$$b^2c(X) + a^2C_5 = c(\ddot{X}) \tag{2.10}$$

On Solving (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$c(X) = c(\ddot{X})$$

Similary, we can prove

$$c(Y) = c(\ddot{Y})$$

Therefore, $\bar{M}$ has constant $\phi-$holomorphic sectional curvature. □

Theorem 2.2. [6] *Let* $dim(M) = 2n > 4$. *Then almost Hermitian manifold* (M^{2n}, g, J) *is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature at* x *if and only if*

$$R(X, JX)X \textit{ is proportional to } JX \tag{2.11}$$

for every tangent vector X *at* $x \in M$.

Proof. When $r = 0$, $g.f.f-$manifold is an almost hermitian manifold. Therefore, Theorem 1.3 imply the condition (2.11). □

Remark 2.1. In our case, the condition (2) in Theorem 2.1 is satisfied not as same as S. Tanno [6] has assumed.

3. $\mathcal{S}-$SPACE FORM

Definition 3.1. A $g.f.f-$manfiold $(\bar{M}^{2n+r}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi_\alpha}, \bar{\eta}^\alpha)$ is called an $\mathcal{S}-$*manifold* if it is normal and $d\bar{\eta}^\alpha = \Phi$, for any $\alpha \in \{1, \cdots, r\}$, where $\Phi(X, Y) = \bar{g}(X, \phi Y)$ for any X, $Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M})$. The normality condition is expressed by the vanishing of the tensor field $N = N_\phi + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} 2d\bar{\eta}^\alpha \otimes \bar{\xi_\alpha}$, N_ϕ being the Nijenhuis torsion of ϕ.

If $(\bar{M}^{2n+r}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi_\alpha}, \bar{\eta}^\alpha)$ is an $\mathcal{S}-$manifold, then it is known [1] that

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X\bar{\phi})Y = \bar{g}(\bar{\phi}X, \bar{\phi}Y)\bar{\xi} + \bar{\eta}(Y)\bar{\phi}^2(X) \tag{3.1}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X\bar{\xi}_\alpha = -\bar{\phi}X, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\bar{\xi} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} \bar{\xi}_\alpha$ and $\bar{\eta} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} \bar{\eta}^\alpha$.

Theorem 3.1. [1] *An* $\mathcal{S}-$*manifold* M^{2n+r} *has constant* $\phi-$*sectional curvature* c *if and only if its curvature tensor field satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} &4\bar{R}(X, Y, Z) \\ &= (c + 3r)\{\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}X, \bar{\phi}Z)\bar{\phi}^2Y - \bar{g}(\bar{\phi}Y, \bar{\phi}Z)\bar{\phi}^2X\} \\ &\quad +(c - r)\{\Phi(Z, Y)\phi X - \Phi(Z, X)\phi Y + 2\Phi(X, Y)\phi Z\} \\ &\quad -\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}Z, \bar{\phi}Y)\bar{\eta}(X)\bar{\eta} + \bar{g}(\bar{\phi}Z, \bar{\phi}X)\bar{\eta}(Y)\bar{\eta} \\ &\quad -\bar{\eta}(Y)\bar{\eta}(Z)\bar{\phi}^2X + \bar{\eta}(Z)\bar{\eta}(X)\bar{\phi}^2Y \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(T\bar{M})$.

An $\mathcal{S}-$manifold M^{2n+r} with constant $\phi-$sectional curvature c is called an $\mathcal{S}-$*space form* and denoted by $M^{2n+r}(c)$.

When $r = 1$, an $\mathcal{S}-$space form $M^{2n+1}(c)$ reduces to a Sasakian space form [2] and (3.3) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} &4\bar{R}(X,Y,Z)\\ &= (c+3)\{\bar{g}(Y,Z)X - \bar{g}(X,Z)Y\}\\ &\quad +(c-1)\{\Phi(X,Z)\phi Y - \Phi(Y,Z)\phi X + 2\Phi(X,Y)\phi Z\\ &\quad -\bar{g}(Z,Y)\eta(X)\eta + \bar{g}(Z,X)\eta(Y)\eta\\ &\quad -\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + \eta(Z)\eta(X)Y\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(T\bar{M})$, where $\xi_1 = \xi$ and $\eta^1 = \eta$.

When $r = 0$, an $\mathcal{S}-$space form $M^{2n}(c)$ becomes a complex space form and (3.3) moves to

$$\begin{aligned} 4R(X,Y,Z) \;&=\; c\{\bar{g}(Y,Z)X - \bar{g}(X,Z)Y\\ &\quad +\Phi(X,Z)\phi Y - \Phi(Y,Z)\phi X + 2\Phi(X,Y)\phi Z\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $(\bar{M}^{2n+r}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi_\alpha}, \bar{\eta}^\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \{1, \cdots, r\}$, $(n \geq 2)$ *be a* $\mathcal{S}-$*manifold. Then* M^{2n+1} *is of constant* $\phi-$*holomorphic sectional curvature if and only if*

$$R(X, \phi X)X \text{ is proportional to } \phi X \tag{3.6}$$

for every vector field X *such that* $g(X, \bar{\xi_\alpha}) = 0$ *for any* $\alpha \in \{1, \cdots, r\}$.

Proof. An $\mathcal{S}-$space form is a special case of $g.f.f-$ space form, and hence the proof follows from Theorem 1.3 and (3.3). □

Theorem 3.3. *(cf. Tanno* [6]*) A Sasakian manifold* ≥ 5 *is of constant* $\phi-$*sectional curvature if and only if*

$$R(X, \phi X)X \text{ is proportional to } \phi X \tag{3.7}$$

for every tangent vector X *such that* $g(X, \xi) = 0$.

Proof. When $r = 1$, an $\mathcal{S}-$space form $M^{2n+1}(c)$ reduces to a Sasakian space form. The proof follows from (3.4) and Theorem 3.2. □

Theorem 3.4. *(cf. Kosmanek* [4]*) A Kählerian manifold* ≥ 4 *is of constant* $\phi-$*sectional curvature if and only if*

$$R(X, \phi X)X \text{ is proportional to } \phi X \tag{3.8}$$

for every tangent vector X *on* M.

Proof. When $r = 0$, an $\mathcal{S}-$space form $M^{2n+1}(c)$ reduces to a Kählerian space form. The proof follows from (3.5) and Theorem 3.2. □

REFERENCES

[1] Blair, D. E., Geometry of manifolds with structural group $\mathcal{U}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(s)$, J. Diff. Geometry, **4** (1970), $155 - 167$.

[2] Blair, D. E., Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, Progress in Mathematics, **203**, Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA., 2002.

[3] Falcitelli, M. and Pastore, A. M., Generalized globally framed $f-$space forms, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome **52**(100), No. 3, (2009), $291 - 305$.

[4] Kosmanek, E., Une propriete caracteristique des varietes Kahleriennes a courbure holomorphe constante, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, **259** (1964), $705 - 708$.

[5] Kobayashi, S. and Nomizu, K., Foundations of differential geometry. Vol.**I**,**II**, Interscience Publishers, New York, $1963 - 1969$.

[6] Tanno, S., Constancy of holomorphic sectional curvature in almost Hermitian manifolds, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., **25**(1973), $190 - 201$.

[7] Yano, K., On a structure defined by a tensor field f satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$, Tensor, New Ser. **14** (1963), $99 - 109$.

[8] Yano, K. and Kon, M., Structures on manifolds, Series in Pure Mathematics, **3** World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1984.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAN
E-mail address: `jaewon@math.sinica.edu.tw`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DONGGUK UNVERSITY, GYEONGJU 780-714, SOUTH KOREA
E-mail address: `jindh@dongguk.ac.kr`